

**Title: Technical Evaluation Criteria
for design and construction
of System Operating
Control(soc) building at
eMkhiweni.**

Document Identifier: EMK24P02-SE-D86

Alternative Reference Number: N/A

**Area of Applicability: National Transmission
Company South Africa**

Functional Area: Engineering


Revision: 0

Total Pages: 14

Next Review Date: N/A

Disclosure Classification: Controlled Disclosure

Compiled by


S. Sibiya

**Snr. Advisor: Architecture
Substation Engineering**

Date: 25-11-2025

Functional Responsibility


B. Hajee

**Chief Engineer: Civil
Substation Engineering**

Date: 03/12/2025

Authorized by


A Maneli

**Manager: Civil
Substation Engineering**

Date: 04 - 12 - 2025

Content

Page

1. Introduction.....	3
2. Supporting Clauses	3
2.1 Scope.....	3
2.1.1 Purpose.....	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.1.3 Effective date.....	3
2.2 Normative/Informative References	3
2.2.1 Normative.....	3
2.2.2 Informative.....	4
2.3 Definitions	4
2.3.1 Controlled disclosure	4
2.3.2 Tender	4
2.4 Abbreviations	4
2.5 Roles and Responsibilities	4
2.6 Process for Monitoring.....	4
2.7 Related/Supporting Documents	4
3. Tender Technical Evaluation Criteria	5
3.1 Scope of Work.....	5
3.2 Technical Evaluation Threshold.....	5
3.3 Qualitative technical Evaluation Criteria	5
3.4 Technical Returnable.....	12
3.5 TET Members	13
4. Acceptance.....	14
5. Revisions.....	14
6. Development Team	14
7. Acknowledgements	14

Tables

Table 1: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria	6
Table 2: Evaluation Scoring Table	12

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

1. Introduction

This document establishes the technical evaluation criteria for the evaluation of the tenders that will be received in response to the request to tender for the design and construction of the SOC building at eMkhiweni. It is a high-level consideration of the key aspects that will give direction to the technical evaluation process for architectural and engineering works. It is in accordance with the Tender Engineering Evaluation Procedure (240-48929482) [4].

The works include the following as defined in the scope of work:

- Design & construction of the building and all associated building services/systems.

2. Supporting Clauses

2.1 Scope

This evaluation criteria document applies to all civil engineering aspects of the Transmission Substations

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation criteria strategy is to define the Technical Returnable, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document shall apply to the design and construction of the SOC building at eMkhiweni project only.

2.1.3 Effective date

The date from which the document is effective is from the authorisation date.

2.2 Normative/Informative References

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

- [1] ISO 9001 Quality Management Systems
- [2] OHS Act, 1993: Construction Regulations, 2014
- [3] SANS 1200: Standard Specification for Civil Engineering Construction
- [4] 240-48929482: Tender Engineering Evaluation Procedure

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

2.2.2 Informative

None

2.3 Definitions

2.3.1 Controlled disclosure

Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary)

2.3.2 Tender

A written competitive offer, quotation, proposal made by the supplier in a prescribed or stipulated form in response to an invitation to tender/competitive enquire for provision of assets/goods or services and or the disposal thereof.

2.4 Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Explanation
CV	Curriculum Vitae
EDWL	Engineering Design Work Lead
LDE	Lead Discipline Engineer
N/A	Not Applicable
OHSA	Occupational Health and Safety Act
SANS	South African National Standards
TET	Technical Evaluation Team

2.5 Roles and Responsibilities

Engineering Manager: All Engineering Managers throughout Eskom shall ensure that all staff, in their respective areas understand and adhere to this procedure.

Engineering Design Work Lead (EDWL): The EDWL is responsible to manage the execution and adherence to this procedure. Typically, on New Build projects the EDWL role is fulfilled by the Lead Discipline Engineer (LDE) and in existing asset projects the EDWL role is fulfilled by the relevant System Engineer/plant Engineer.

Technical Evaluation Team (TET) member: The delegated engineers/technical specialists who are responsible to review and evaluate technical aspects of the tender documentation as per the Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.

2.6 Process for Monitoring

N/A

2.7 Related/Supporting Documents

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

3. Tender Technical Evaluation Criteria

3.1 Scope of Work

The following is a high-level applicable scope of work ticked, refer to a detailed scope of work document for the tendered project:

Scope	Applicable
Foundations and/or plinths	X
Cable Trenches	X
Earthworks/Terrace	X
Roads	X
Drainage	X
Yard stone	
Buildings	X
Fencing	X
Steelwork (i.e. Main columns and beams, equipment support and/or floodlight mast)	X
Security Lighting	X
Earthmat & Earthtails	X
Substation Electrical in Buildings (i.e. Lighting installation, ventilation installation and/or electrical installation-DB)	X

3.2 Technical Evaluation Threshold

The minimum weighted final score (Threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%. The tenderers will be evaluated using the criteria listed in section 3.3. Each criterion is allocated a weight. An overall scoring will be given for each tenderer. The overall score is a sum of the individual scores allocated for each criterion.

3.3 Qualitative technical Evaluation Criteria

Compliant tenders will be evaluated against a set of weighted qualitative evaluation criteria. The evaluation criterion has been broken down into sections and a percentage weighting has been allocated to each section. Percentage weighting summary figures is indicated in the table below:

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

Table 1: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable (Shall be used in conjunction with strategy)	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)	Score Clarification
1.	Design and Construction Program/technical Schedule:			20		
	1.1	A program with the order in which main activities will be done.	Demonstrate how the tenderer intends on completing the design and construction supervision by providing high level programme with key milestone and completion dates for design and construction supervision deliverables from stages 1 to 6.		60	All activities listed in order = 5, Few irrelevant activities or few missing = 4, Missing a lot of activities or mostly irrelevant = 2, no submission = 0
	1.2	Time durations of main activities from start to end			40	Duration make sense within the communicated start and end date of the project = 5, Only dates provided or only duration provided = 4, No dates or duration specified = 0
2.	Method statement			30		

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

	2.1	Relevant method statement with a description of how the main activities will be constructed	The method statement shall be detailed with information on how the Consultant will compile the detailed scope of work, render stage 1 and 2 services, compile the tender document for EPC Contractor appointment and supervise stages 3 to 6.		100	Relevant, well detailed and all required information submitted = 5(if any irrelevant submitted, to be ignored), Missing 20% of the required or few important steps/activities missed = 4, Mostly irrelevant submitted and with very few relevant or basic submitted =2, Irrelevant or none provided = 0
3.	Concept design presentation			10		
	3.1	Consultant shall provide a conceptual 2D and 3D model of the building, clearly indicating the type of finishes to be used (steel, concrete, masonry, sheeting etc.)	In this conceptual layout the Consultant shall consider all the building requirements. Is their concept clear and easy to understand? Is the presentation clear and of high draughting standards? Hugh level concept to clearly show site layout and building spatial layout with room names.		100	Relevant, well detailed and all required submitted = 5(if any irrelevant submitted, to be ignored), Missing 20% of the required or few important steps/activities missed = 4, Mostly irrelevant submitted and with very few relevant or basic submitted =2, Irrelevant or none provided = 0
4.		Design strategy		10		

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

	4.1	Consultant shall provide a design that is aesthetically pleasing, economical and practical	Is the design economical? Is the design practical to construct? Can all the materials and finishes be sourced in South Africa? What does the main structure consist of?		100	Relevant, well detailed and all required submitted = 5(if any irrelevant submitted, to be ignored), Missing 20% of the required or few important steps/activities missed = 4, Mostly irrelevant submitted and with very few relevant or basic submitted =2, Irrelevant or none provided = 0
5.		Relevant Previous Projects Completed		20		
	5.1	List of relevant and comparable previous projects executed successfully With similar scope in a table format.			60	>5 Projects = 5; 4 to 2 projects = 4; 1 project = 2 ; none provided = 0

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

5.2	Each project to include project description scope, completion date and client contact person and details. A completion letter to be submitted for each project listed.			30	Well defined project scope, completion date and client contact person details provided (When all 3 requirements are provided) = 5 ; When any of project scope, completion date or client contact person and details is missing (When only 2 requirements are provided = 4; When one of project scope , completion date and client contact person and details is provided (When only one of the requirements is provided) = 2 ; None provided = 0
5.3	Copies of completion certificates for at least 5 projects listed in section 5.2 of this document. Purchase orders, appointment letters and professional services agreement will not be considered for this returnable.			10	All completion certificates for the mentioned projects in 5.1 provided = 5 ; Missing any of the mentioned projects in 5.1 = 4 ; Missing more than half of the projects mentioned in 5.1 = 2 ; none provided = 0

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

6.		CV's and Qualifications of Key Personnel		10		
	6.1	CV's of key - Project team (Architect, Civil Engineer, Structural Engineer, Mechanical Engineer, Electrical Engineer and Project Manager). Supervision team (Site Manager/Site Agent and Site Supervisor)			30	All required CVs provided = 5 ; Missing 1 CV = 4 ; none provided = 0
	6.2	CV's to include relevant academic qualifications and experience of key personnel detailing relevant project specific work experience	Below listed professionally registered personnels shall have a minimum of 7 years' of relevant experience working as professionals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Architect - BSc Architecture Degree • Civil Engineer – BSc Civil Engineering Degree • Structural Engineer – BSc Structural Engineering Degree • Electrical Engineer – N.Dip Electrical Engineering • Mechanical Engineer – BSc Mechanical Engineering Degree • Construction manager - Btech/Diploma • Project manager – Btech/Diploma • Site manager/Site agent- Btech/Diploma • Site Supervisor - Btech/Diploma 		60	All personnel meet the minimum qualification and experience = 5. (All key personnel to meet minimum requirements to achieve maximum score); Any of key personnel not meeting the required qualification or experience = 4 Any of the key personnel not meeting the required qualification and experience = 2

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

	6.3	Proof/copies of certified academic and registration qualifications not older than 3 months from the tender closing date	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Architect - SACAP• Civil Engineer – ECSA• Structural Engineer – ECSA• Electrical Engineer – ECSA• Mechanical Engineer – ECSA• Construction manager – PrCM(SACPCMP)• Project manager – PrCPM(SACPCMP)		10	All qualifications mentioned in 6.1 & 6.2 provided = 5 (if all certified = 5 and not certified = 2) “Uncertified documents cannot be verified and therefore will results in documents not being accepted”. Results in low score
				TOTAL: 100		

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

The scoring for each tender will be done as per the scoring table shown below. This table is as per the requirements of Tender Engineering Evaluation Procedure [4].

Table 2: Evaluation Scoring Table

Score	Percentage	Definition
5	100	COMPLIANT Meet technical requirement(s) AND; No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS Meet technical requirement(s) with; Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; Acceptable conditions.
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; Unacceptable conditions.
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE
Note 1: The scoring table does not allow for scoring of 1 and 3. Note 2: Foreseen acceptable and unacceptable risk(s), exceptions and conditions shall be unambiguously defined in the relevant Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy.		

3.4 Technical Returnable

The technical returnable/qualitative technical criteria description shall follow the same numbering sequence as per this evaluation criteria.

The following documents shall be submitted when tendering:

1. Construction program/technical schedule. A program with the order in which main activities will be done with time durations from start to end.
2. Construction method statements (including detailed step-by-step procedures) for all applicable scope ticked from section 3.1.

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

The following to form part of the method statements if the scope of work include the concrete works:

➤ Method of Concrete Mix

The contractor to specify the method of concrete placement, batching on site or supply of ready mix.

If Batching – The contractor to provide the following:

- Concrete mix design,
- Aggregate to be used,
- Location/supplier of aggregate, and
- Mixing and testing to be included in the method statement.

If Ready Mix – The contractor to provide the following:

- The supplier of ready mix and the distance from site,
- Method on how results (and what results) will be obtained from the supplier, and
- Method on how concrete will be tested on site.

➤ Method of steel erection: (where the crane is required)

If the contractor specified that he/she will not subcontract the steel erection, he/she should specify there is a qualified rigger and crane operator to perform the work.

If the contractor does not have a qualified rigger, he/she must specify that there will be a subcontractor company responsible for steelwork in this section or under list of subcontractor section.

3. Concept design
4. Design strategy
5. List of relevant and comparable previous projects completed. The list shall include project scope, substation name (if any), completion date, project value and client contact person and details. The contractor shall further include any concessions made during each project execution.
6. List of key personnel, their experiences (include CV detailing project-specific work experience for each employee) and academic qualifications. Also include total number of manpower to be dedicated to this project.

3.5 TET Members

TET members will be confirmed closer to the evaluations

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

4. Acceptance

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation
Andile Maneli	Civil Section Manager
Bilal Hajee	Chief Engineer

5. Revisions

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
November 2025	0	S. Sibiya	First issue

6. Development Team

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- S. Sibiya

7. Acknowledgements

None

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE